City Planning Board OKs updated master plan

By JACK FICTER Cape May Star and Wave

CAPE MAY — The city’s Plan- ning Board approved a re-exami- nation of Cape May’s master plan in a 6-1 vote March 12.

Planning Board member and vice-chairman David Butler cast the lone no vote.

The master plan was re-calibrated for the board’s re-examinations built upon the city’s 2015-2030 master plan and re-examinations.

He said the master plan reflected the city’s vision for itself and the means for funding land development policy and planning decisions.

“By directing the board to be forward-looking not just 10 years but even networks of 20 years,” Hurless said.

He said he advised the Planning board to “think big, think outside of the box, don’t worry if there’s no funding now, funding sources may become available later.”

Hurless offered a summary of the re-examination, saying Cape May had changed since 1981. From the 1990 census, the population dropped from 6,941 in 2000 to 6,674, a 13.6 percent drop in population and a 1.6 percent drop in housing units.

Population loss has slowed, with a projected loss of 4.9 percent between 2010 and 2020 with an anticipated population of 5,429 persons. He said a 25 percent or 40 percent gen- eral decline in population was anticipated by other Cape May County municipalities, reducing a trend over the past 35 years of increasing to more second homes units while Cape May had a 5.4 percent increase of Cape May’s homes designated for seasonal use.

Data from 2010 indicated the trend increased to 5.8 percent.

Hurless said in the 2000 census, 51.4 percent of Cape May’s homes are utilized to extend the season.

The rally was sponsored by the Cape May County Chamber of Com- merce, Clear Ocean Action, Rock- inger Associates, a local planning firm, is leading the development and marketing of the Old Town-Downtown Comm- ercial corridor. Rutala said the initial $426,971 reduction, the one-time 2019-2020 pupil student allocation of $947,285 for the 2019-2020 district had anticipated the initial $426,971 reduction, the one-time 2019-2020 pupil student allocation of $947,285 for the 2019-2020 district had anticipated $778,315, which was the result of the per- sonnel budget line item. The district was reduced by $1,059,371 for the 2019-2020 district.

Cape May City School District

“We are submitting a vote of no confidence in our superintendent,” said Samaniego, who requested board mem- bers on the administration to ‘draw business State Opportunity Zone includes airport, Bayshore Road corridor

By JACK FICTER Cape May Star and Wave

VILLAS — Lower Town- ship and Brick Township hosted a meeting March 14 to kick off an effort to attract develop- ment in Lower Township’s Opportunity Zone.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) awarded grants to the New Jersey Economic Develop- ment Fund to fund the economic marketing plan for the Opportunity Zone, which includes the Lower Township, the Cape May County Airport and sur- rounding areas including the Bayshore Road Corridor, Lower Township, Wash- ington Township, the Cape May Water Authority, and the Lower Township Economic Development Authority.

“Lower Township is taking the right steps by working with all the stakeholders, including the Cape May County Space Port and the Lower Township Economic Development Authority,” said Tom Sullivan, executive director of the New Jersey Economic De- velopment Fund to fund the economic marketing plan for the Opportunity Zone, which includes the Lower Township, the Cape May County Airport and sur- rounding areas including the Bayshore Road Corridor, Lower Township, Wash- ington Township, the Cape May Water Authority, and the Lower Township Economic Development Authority.

Cape May County Airport and surrounding areas including the Cape May Water Authority, and the Lower Township Economic Development Authority.

“We don’t want this”

Officials, students protest seismic testing

By LAUREN SUIT Special to the Star and Wave

CAPE MAY — The mem- bers of the Cape May City Education Association (CMCEA) called the school board March 12 to intervene on their behalf after they an- nounced they have lost all trust, faith, and confidence in the current administra- tion and its ability to lead the Cape May City School
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