Sewell Point settlement proposed

By CAIN CHAMBERLIN
Cape May Star and Wave

Cape MAY – The state Department of Environmental Protection has made a proposal to allow Sewell Point, which is currently in the Point Tract East of Pittsburgh Avenue, to be developed.

The parcel of land, currently referred to as a “no-build” area, is to be developed in the early 1990s by a group of developers. The City of Cape May later agreed to provide road, sidewalk, and substation facilities. However, the proposal was rejected.

The DEP initially concluded the land was private property owned by a local nonprofit organization, known as the Sewell Point Tract. The DEP also concluded that the parcel was not the Sewell Point Tract. The DEP then decided to request that the parcel be rezoned to allow development.
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